Herbicidal Activity of 6-Methylanthranilic Acid and Analogues

Gareth J. Thomas

Analogues of 6-methylanthranilic acid (1) have been synthesized and their herbicidal activities determined in order to define structure-activity relationships. Isomers of 1 in which carboxyl and amino functions are not ortho are inactive, and anthranilic acids that lack a 6-substituent are considerably less active than 1. For optimum activity the 6-substituent should have a lipophilicity parameter $\pi = 0.7$ and should be electron donating. Analogues of 1 with a modified carboxyl function are considerably less active, whereas high activity is retained in a series of N-alkyl and N-acyl derivatives. Introduction of additional substituents gives analogues of 1 with greatly reduced activity.

A variety of substituted benzoic acids exhibit plant growth regulating and herbicidal activity. Substituents that are most effective in enhancing these activities are halogen and methyl (Audus, 1972). James and Wain (1968) have tested a series of anthranilic acids, including 6-methylanthranilic acid (1), as plant growth regulators



and found that only halogen-substituted derivatives are active. It was therefore interesting to find that 6methylanthranilic acid possesses considerable plant growth regulating and herbicidal activity. It increases the sugar content of sugar cane, selectively retards the growth of grasses, stimulates fruit ripening, increases fruit set and frost resistance, and shows herbicidal activity against a variety of species (de Silva et al., 1979). Analogues of 6-methylanthranilic acid have now been synthesized and their herbicidal activities determined in order to define structure-activity relationships in this series.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Compounds 2-4 and 8-10 were obtained from commercial sources, and analogues 11 (Baker et al., 1952a), 19 and 20 (Piper and Stevens, 1962), 25 and 47 (Gabriel and Thieme, 1919), 28 and 29 (Courtin, 1975), 48, 50 and 51 (Baker et al., 1952b), and 53-55 (Theilacker and Hopp, 1963) were synthesized by literature procedures. Catalytic hydrogenation of the corresponding nitro derivatives gave the amines 5-7, 23, 26, and 49. The anthranilic acids 13, 14, and 56, were obtained through oxidation of 4-n-propylisatin (Baker et al., 1952a), 4-isopropylisatin [derived from *m-iso*propylaniline (Kovacic et al., 1966)], and 7methoxy-4-methylisatin (Bullock and Johnson, 1957), respectively. 15 was obtained through hydrolysis of 6methoxyanthranilamide (Farkas et al., 1974), and a similar procedure was employed for the homologue 16. Catalytic hydrogenation of 2,6-dinitrobenzoic acid gave 18, while catalytic hydrogen transfer from cyclohexene gave 22, which was further converted to 17 through N-benzoylation and catalytic hydrogenation and to 21 by Sandmeyer reaction to give 2-bromo-6-nitrobenzoic acid followed by reduction of the corresponding ammonium salt with sodium dithionite. The amide 24 resulted from an unusual transformation (Moll et al., 1963) on catalytic hydrogenation of 2-methyl-6-nitrobenzonitrile (Gabriel and Thieme, 1919). Reduction of 47 with sodium borohydride in the presence of aluminium chloride gave 2-methyl-6-nitrobenzyl alcohol, which was hydrogenated to give 27 and was treated with phosphorus tribromide to give 2-methyl-6nitrobenzyl bromide, which, on reaction with potassium cyanide followed by acid hydrolysis and catalytic hydrogenation, gave the phenylacetic acid 30. The N-methyl derivative 31 was prepared by treatment of 1 with dimethyl sulfate, while the N,N-dialkyl derivatives 32 and 33 were obtained through reductive alkylation. 1 was converted to the N-acyl derivatives 34-39 under conventional Schotten-Baumann conditions and also served as a precursor of 42-46 through Sandmever reactions and of 52 by chlorination. 40 was synthesized through condensation of 26 with 2-methyl-6-nitrobenzovl chloride, saponification, and catalytic hydrogenation. All compounds gave satisfactory spectral (NMR, IR, MS) and microanalytical data. Melting points of new compounds are given in Table I.

The 2% solutions of test compounds in acetone were diluted immediately before use with an equal volume of water containing, in the case of postemergence application, 0.1% of the wetting agent Etalfix R (Dr. R. Maag, Ltd.), a nonionic wetting agent containing 25% isooctylphenol-(6 to 7)-ethoxylate, which is not phytotoxic at the indicated rate. Solutions were applied at a rate of 10 kg of a.i./ha, either 1 day after sowing seeds in a sterilized loam soil (preemergence) or when plants had reached a suitable growth stage (postemergence). Test plants were Sorghum halpense, Echinochloa crus-galli, Alopecurus pratensis, Avena fatua, Fagopyrum vulgare, Stellaria media, Datura stramonium, and Chrysanthemum segetum. Four types of herbicidal effects were assessed as a percentage of the maximum possible for both pre- and postemergence application on all eight species, and herbicidal activity was assessed by calculating a phytotoxicity index I_t . Greater weighting was given to necrosis on the basis that, whereas good herbicidal activity results eventually in 80-100% necrosis, slow-acting herbicides or sublethal doses may cause different effects during the standard observation period. Thus

$I_t = \%$ necrosis + 0.5(% chlorosis +

% growth retardation+ % deformation)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3-, 4-, and 5-methylanthranilic acids were considerably less active than 6-methylanthranilic acid, and isomers 5-9were virtually inactive, indicating the necessity of an ortho arrangement of carboxyl and amino functions. The inactivity of anthranilic acid 10 itself demonstrated the importance of a 6-substituent, and Hansch analysis of 6substituted anthranilic acids 1, 11, 13-19, and 21-23 sug-

Roche Products Limited, Welwyn Garden City, Hertfordshire AL7 3AY, England.

Table	T	Structures	and	Herhicidal	Activities
T ADIG	L.	SILLUCIULE	аци	Herniciual	ACTIVITION

$\begin{array}{c cccc} ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	substituents (except H)												
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	compd	1.	2	3	4	5	6	$I_{ m t}$	mp °C				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	CO ₂ H	NH ₂				Me		— " , , , ,				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	CO_2H	\mathbf{NH}_2			Me							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	CO₂H	$\rm NH_2$		Me								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO ₂ H	NH_2										
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	CO2H CO H		NH_2	NILI								
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	СО₂ н СО₂ н			INIT ₂	NH.							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8				NH		1410						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO ₂ H		NH ₂									
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO_2H		-				20					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	CO_2H											
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO₂H											
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO ₂ H											
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							$1-U_3\Pi_7$		139-136				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	CO₂H CO₂H	NH ₂						75				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO ₂ H	NH ₂										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO ₂ H	NH ₂										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	CO_2H	NH ₂				Cl						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO_2H	NHCOCH ₃										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	CO₂H	\mathbf{NH}_2				Br						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	CO₂H						0					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23							30 175					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			NH.										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26							35					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27		NH ₂					310					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28		NH ₂					20					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			\mathbf{NH}_2										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CH ₂ CO ₂ H	NH ₂										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	CO₂H CO H											
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34								120 100				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	35	CO ₂ H											
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36	CO₂H					Me		156-157				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO ₂ H											
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO₂H							93.5-94.5				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									100 0 100 5				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40	CO₂H	Me				Me	20	162.3-163.5				
41 CO_2H OH Me8542 CO_2H SH Me8043 CO_2H $S-)_2$ Me1044 CO_2H Cl Me51545 CO_2H BrMe44546 CO_2H IMe44547 CO_2H NO2MeMe48 CO_2H NH2MeMe49 CO_2H NH2MeMe50 CO_2H NH2MeMe51 CO_2H NH2ClCl53 CO_2H NH2NO2Me54 CO_2H NH2NO2Me55 CO_2H NH2NO2Me55 CO_2H NH2NO2Me54 CO_2H NH2NO2Me55 CO_2H NHCOCH3NO2Me			>=/										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			OH					85					
44 CO_2H Cl Me 515 45 CO_2H Br Me 445 46 CO_2H I Me Me 170 47 CO_2H NO_2 Me Me 125 48 CO_2H NH_2 Me Me 25 49 CO_2H NH_2 Me Me 45 50 CO_2H NH_2 Me Me 45 51 CO_2H NH_2 Cl Cl Me 70 186–187 52 CO_2H NH_2 OQ_2 Me 55 55 55 CO_2H NH_2 NO_2 Me 55 54 CO_2H NH_2 NO_2 Me 130		CO₂H	SH										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO₂H CO H	$S^{-})_{2}$										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO.H					Me	170					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO ₃ H						125					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		CO_2H	\mathbf{NH}_2	Me			Me	25					
	49	CO_2H	$\rm NH_2$		Me	• •	Me	25					
52 CO_2H NH_2 Cl Cl Me 70 $186-187$ 53 CO_2H NH_2 NO_2 Me 5554 CO_2H NH_2 NO_2 Me 22555 CO_2H $NHCOCH_3$ NO_2 Me 130		CO_2H	NH ₂			Me	Me						
53 CO2H NH2 NO2 Me 55 54 CO2H NH2 NO2 Me 225 55 CO2H NHCOCH3 NO2 Me 130	51		NH ₂	01		C 1	$-(CH_2)_4$		190 195				
54 CO ₂ H NH ₂ NO ₂ Me 225 55 CO ₂ H NHCOCH ₃ NO ₂ Me 130	52					U			190-191				
55 CO_2H NHCOCH ₃ NO ₂ Me 130	00 54	С02н С0-н		100_2		NO.							
	55	CO ₂ H	NHCOCH,										
				OMe		4			126 - 126.5				

gested that for optimum activity this substituent should have a lipophilicity parameter $\pi = 0.7$ and should be electron donating. Analogues 24-30 in which the carboxyl function of 1 was replaced by other groups were considerably less active than 1.

N-Alkylation or N-acylation of 1 gave derivatives 31-40, which all possessed significant herbicidal activity, but the relative insensitivity of the activity to the nature of the alkyl or acyl residue suggested that there is little scope for improvement of the herbicidal activity of 1 through functionalization of the amino group. Analogues 41-43, 46, and 47 in which the amino function of 1 was replaced by other groups had only moderate activity. The high herbicidal activity of chloro- (44) and bromotoluic acid (45) is consistent with the observation that the plant growth inhibiting activity of benzoic acids is directly related to the electron acceptor ability of the molecule (Stom and Khutoryanskii, 1972). However, in view of the low level of activity of other analogues lacking an amino group, 44 and 45 may well have a different mode of action from the anthranilic acid derivatives. Analogues 48-56, which contain three substituents of 1 together with additional substituents, were considerably less active than 1.

This study has facilitated the definition of structureactivity relationships among analogues of 6-methylanthranilic acid (1), but their mode of action remains unknown. The fact that 1 and the 6-chloro and 6-bromo analogues 19 and 21 were either inactive or had only slight activity in the wheat cylinder, pea segment, and pea curvature tests (James and Wain, 1968) suggests that these compounds do not possess auxin activity. Additional studies will be required to elucidate the mode of action of 6-methylanthranilic acid and analogues.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to W. H. de Silva, P. F. Bocion, and P. Eggenberg for carrying out biological evaluations and to A. Krohn for Hansch analysis.

Registry No. 1, 4389-50-8; 2, 2941-78-8; 3, 2305-36-4; 4, 4389-45-1; 5, 2840-04-2; 6, 2486-75-1; 7, 52130-17-3; 8, 2486-70-6; 9, 2458-12-0; 10, 118-92-3; 11, 66232-56-2; 12, 66232-47-1; 13, 66232-53-9; 14, 66232-54-0; 15, 53600-33-2; 16, 90321-28-1; 17, 90321-29-2; 18, 90321-30-5; 19, 2148-56-3; 20, 19407-42-2; 21, 20776-48-1; 22, 50573-74-5; 23, 6946-22-1; 24, 1885-31-0; 25, 56043-01-7; 26, 18595-13-6; 27, 65658-16-4; 28, 39967-87-8; 29, 90321-31-6; 30, 37777-66-5; 31, 66232-49-1; 32, 66232-48-2; 31, 66232-49-3; 38, 66232-50-6; 39, 66232-44-5; 36, 66232-48-2; 41, 567-61-3; 42, 17839-53-1; 43, 18239-19-5; 44, 21327-86-6; 45, 90259-31-7; 46, 54811-50-6; 47, 13506-76-8; 48, 15540-91-7; 49, 90321-33-8; 50, 5628-48-8; 51, 90321-34-9; 52, 90321-37-2.

LITERATURE CITED

- Audus, L. J. "Plant Growth Substances"; Leonard Hill: London, 1972; Vol. 1, p 87.
- Baker, B. R.; Schaub, R. E.; Joseph, J. P.; McEvoy, F. J.; Williams, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1952a, 17, 164.
- Baker, B. R.; Schaub, R. E.; Joseph, J. P.; McEvoy, F. J.; Williams, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1952b, 17, 149.
- Bullock, E.; Johnson, A. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1957, 1602.
- Courtin, A. Chimia 1975, 29, 260.
- de Silva, W. H.; Bocion, P. F.; Eggenberg, P.; de Mur, A. J. Plant Dis. Prot. 1979, 86, 546.
- Farkas, L.; Soti, F.; Incze, M.; Nogradi, M. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 3874.
- Gabriel, S.; Thieme, A. Chem. Ber. 1919, 52, 1079.
- James, C. S.; Wain, R. L. Ann. Appl. Biol. 1968, 61, 295.
- Kovacic, P.; Levisky, J. A.; Goralski, C. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 100.
- Moll, H.; Musso, H.; Schroder, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1963, 2, 212.
- Piper, J. R.; Stevens, F. J. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 3134.
- Stom, D. I.; Khutoryanskii, V. A. Fiziol. Biokhim. Kul't. Rast. 1972, 4, 183; Chem. Abstr. 1972, 77, 97678c.
- Theilacker, W.; Hopp, R. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1963, 669, 85.

Received for review October 12, 1983. Accepted March 21, 1984.

Metribuzin Metabolites in Mammals and Liver Microsomal Oxidase Systems: Identification, Synthesis, and Reactions

Marian Saeman Bleeke and John E. Casida*

Mercapturic acid derivatives are the major urinary metabolites of metribuzin [4-amino-6-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-3-(methylthio)-1,2,4-triazin-5(4H)-one] in intraperitoneally treated mice and orally treated rats, accounting for $\sim 20\%$ of the dose. These mercapturates of metribuzin and deaminometribuzin, in which the methylthio substituent is replaced by an N-acetylcysteinyl moiety, are also the major products on incubation of mouse and rat liver microsomes with metribuzin in the presence of N-acetylcysteine and NADPH. Other NADPH-dependent metabolites are deaminometribuzin and protein-bound material, the latter formed in large amounts only when N-acetylcysteine is not added. Deamination appears to be more important in rat than in mouse metabolism, both in vivo and in vitro. These findings suggest the formation of metribuzin sulfoxide and deaminometribuzin sulfoxide as activated intermediates. Oxidation of metribuzin and deaminometribuzin with m-chloroperbenzoic acid yields the corresponding sulfoxides, which react readily with N-acetylcysteine or protein in neutral aqueous solutions. The N-amino group is also cleaved on peracid oxidation, but S-methyl sulfoxidation occurs more rapidly.

Metribuzin or Sencor [4-amino-6-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-3-(methylthio)-1,2,4-triazin-5(4H)-one] is a potent photosystem II inhibitor used as a pre- and postemergence herbicide against a variety of broadleaf and grass weeds in potatoes, soybeans, and other tolerant crops (Draber et al., 1968; Eue, 1972). Acid hydrolyzes the SMe substituent from the metribuzin ring to give a diketo derivative (Frear et al., 1983a), and metal-catalyzed oxidation with *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide cleaves either the C-SMe or N-NH₂ group depending on the catalyst to form the diketo or deamino derivative, respectively (Nakayama et al., 1982). The metabolic fate of metribuzin is reported in several plant systems but not in animals. Soybean, sugarcane, and/or tomato form metribuzin N-glucoside and malonyl Nglucoside, the homoglutathione conjugate, and the deamino-, diketo-, and deaminodiketo derivatives (Hilton et al., 1974; Mangeot et al., 1979; Frear et al., 1983a,b). Metribuzin sulfoxide is a possible intermediate in metabolic formation of the homoglutathione conjugate (Frear et al., 1983b).

This investigation considers the metabolism of metribuzin in rats and mice and their liver microsomal oxidase systems. It also develops a chemical model for the observed reactions with emphasis on the importance of metribuzin sulfoxide as an activated intermediate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chromatography and Analysis. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) utilized precoated silica gel 60 F-254 20

Pesticide Chemistry and Toxicology Laboratory, Department of Entomological Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720.